

Estimate the Health Literacy in Health Centers in the Border of Yazd City: Cross sectional study

Sakineh Gerayllo ^a, Seyed Saeed Mazloomi Mahmoodabad ^{b*},
Zohreh Karimian Kakolaki ^a, Hosein Fallahzadeh ^c, Farahnaz Khabiri ^a

^a Department of Health Education & Promotion, School of Public Health, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

^b Social Determinants of Health Research Center, School of Public Health, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

^c Department of Biostatistics, Research Center of Prevention & Epidemiology of Non-Communicable Disease, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Article History:

Received: 7 Jun 2017

Revised: 2 Aug 2017

Accepted: 10 Oct 2017

*Corresponding Author:

Seyed Saeed Mazloomi
Mahmoodabad

Email:

Mazloomi@ssu.ac.ir

Tel: +98 9131516779

Citation:

Gerayllo S, Mazloomi Mahmoodabad SS, Karimian Kakolaki Z, Fallahzadeh H, Khabiri F. Estimate the Health literacy in Health Centers in the Border of Yazd City: Cross sectional study. Social Behavior Research & Health (SBRH). 2017; 1(2): 73-80.

Background: Health literacy in the 21st century is an issue for global debate, which has also introduced a number of factors, including an increase in non-communicable diseases. The use of mass communication, especially the Internet has resulted in increased attention on the importance of health literacy. Due to the importance of health literacy of women, the purpose of this study was to assess health literacy in women subscribing to health centers in the border of Yazd.

Methods: This descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out on 250 women referred to health centers in the periphery of Yazd city, they were selected using simple random sampling method, and data were collected using a standard questionnaire of functional health literacy in adults. Collected information was analyzed by the SPSS₁₈ software and appropriate non-parametric tests.

Results: Most participants were of ages between 18 - 30 years. Questions were administered in the areas of health literacy, access area, information access, information understanding, judgment and assessment. Results indicated that 25.2, 56.6, and 19.6% were good, but the percentage of women in area judging from collated information in this category was not good. Regarding use of information, 84.4% of women were in the middle class, but none of them was classified in good category.

Conclusion: Health literacy of women in general was unacceptable, and recommendations were made to establish continuous training for women to improve their views. Also, consideration should be given to centers to plan the transformation of health literacy, which has been launched to increase the health literacy of the population being studied as recipients of health services.

Keywords: Health Literacy, Evaluation, Women, Health Center, Yazd

Introduction

Literacy involves a series of complicated abilities to understand and apply for personal and social development. It is seen as a diverse set of required skills of an adult towards work and behavior, one of such skills is health literacy.¹ Health literacy is a relatively new and yet old concept, which has been in use for over 30 years in scientific literatures.² Health literacy includes a set of skills namely, reading, listening, analysis, decision and the ability to apply these skills to situations related to health, which is not necessarily dependent on years of schooling or public reading ability.^{3, 4} As a result of the collaboration of social and personal factors, and the capacity of a person, including an inherent potential and individual skills, which are essential parts of health literacy that its adequacy influences by culture, language and health status profile.⁵ Therefore, learning and understanding new health information requires high skills in reading, calculation and making decision.⁶

In the 21st century, health literacy has been introduced in global debates as a major issue⁷ and a number of factors, including an increase in non-communicable diseases, customer orientation, establishment of health information platforms in the mass media, especially the Internet are factors that have increased awareness on the importance of health literacy in two recent decades.⁸ World Health Organization based on this information has introduced health literacy as one of the greatest determinants of health in a report, and advised the rest of the world to build community database of affected people in order to monitor and coordinate strategic activities, to promote health literacy in different countries.¹ Health literacy is also related with health economics because the consequences of health literacy can have important economic implications.⁹ It also can lead to social harm and may completely prevent people from engaging with the community and reaching their goals of life. Over the past decade, the importance and impacts of low levels of health literacy on

individuals' health status have been highly considered. Health literacy has a key role in benefiting from health services and its results can be improved.¹⁰ Many reasons suggest that various unpleasant health outcomes are caused by inadequate health literacy.^{11, 12}

According to the studies conducted by the US Center for Health Care Strategies, people with less health literacy have less understanding of oral and written information provided by health professionals. They are less likely to act on orders, incur more medical expenses, have weaker health conditions, have more hospitalization and frequent use of emergency services, and have less preventive care behaviors.^{13, 14} In general, they are spending more on medical expenses.¹⁵ Health literacy is a challenge for health care providers and health systems¹⁶ that is considered as a vital indicator of the health care outcomes and costs¹⁷ and failure in its improve leads to longer use of health care services.¹⁸ Therefore, achieving better and higher levels of health literacy is in fact confronting the health inequalities.¹

In developed countries like the United States, nearly 90 million people have limited health literacy, and this contributes to an increased rate of hospitalization and the use of emergency services, which imposes an equivalent of 69 billion dollars on the economy's health system annually.¹⁸ The results of the last study assessment of health literacy in America in 2006 showed that 36% of adults have limited health literacy (insufficient or borderline)¹⁹ and a study in Brazil using a summary questionnaire of adults functional health literacy showed that 32% of individuals have border and inadequate health literacy.²⁰ In Iran, according to a study in five provinces, only 28.1% of participants have adequate health literacy, 15.3 have border health literacy and 56.6 have inadequate health literacy.²¹ A study conducted in Ardabil also reported moderate level of health literacy for middle-aged people.²²



Culture and ethnicity are factors that affect health. The effects of family, social and cultural factors play vital roles in shaping attitudes and beliefs affecting how people interact with the health system.²³ Various surveys show a wide range of inadequate health literacy in different countries, such as Iran and due to the importance of mother's health literacy in families²⁴ and the limited number of health care studies in health centers located in the periphery of Iran's cities, this study was conducted to assess health literacy in women referred to health centers in the border of Yazd city.

Methods

This study was cross-sectional and carried out on women referred to health centers in Yazd city. Sample analysis was carried out using the formula
$$n = \frac{p(1-p) z_{1-\alpha/2}^2}{d^2}$$
, 95% of confidence, and 250 cases were considered. Sampling was done utilizing the simple sampling method. Iranian Health Literacy Questionnaire (IHLQ) was used and its reliability and validity have been approved by experts. The data were collected using a two-part checklist; the first part included demographic variables. In the demographic section, the underlying variable of economic status was divided into three groups of weak, moderate, and good using the qualitative criterion and self-assessment of participants from their income. The main part was carried out by IHLQ. This questionnaire was used in Iranian National Survey on Health Literacy by the Health Education and Promotion Office of the Ministry of Health. So, its reliability and validity were confirmed by the relevant experts.²⁵

Four dimensions of health literacy questionnaire included accessibility and gathering information 11 items, understanding 19 items, judgment and assessment 8 items and the use of information 24 items. Questions were graded using the Likert scale and they were considered and in terms of four scopes of the above-mentioned, group classification of people was done using: good, fair and poor. Research input criteria were the age of

18 - 60 years, ability to read and write and exclusion criteria were acute vision and hearing problems or cognitive and psychiatric disorders. Having ratified the project and the coordination with the city health center and getting referrals to health centers, data were collected through a questionnaire in two border health centers. Before data collection, the design objectives were clarified for the samples. Two interviewers explained the study goals for the participants and in the case of their agreement, they could enter the study. Participants were supposed to complete the questionnaires as self-reports. Incomplete questionnaires were not included in the study and new questionnaires replaced them.

Data analyses were performed by SPSS₁₈ software and descriptive tests and non-parametric Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests at a significance level of 0.05.

Results

Two hundred and fifty women participated in this study and according to the findings the largest age group was 18 - 30 and most of them possessed undergraduate education while jobs of the majority were housewives (Table 1).

According to collected data, the area of assessment and gathering information were in poor category, data understanding in good category, judgment and assessment information and also using data in fair category have the highest frequency (Table 2).

As the results indicated that there was no significant correlation among the areas of health literacy with marital status according to Mann-Whitney test and for other variables of the Kruskal-Walli test, statistically significant differences were seen in varying age groups in the area of understanding the information. Significant relationship was observed with the variable quality of education in all areas except, judge and evaluate and also significant relationship was observed in the employment of women in the field of information ranging (Table 3).

This study only examined women as a target group and its generalization to other strata in the target community is not possible. Therefore, according to the implementation of health

programs and services in border of Yazd, a more comprehensive and intervention study is suggested for future.

Table 1. Distribution of absolute and relative frequency of demographic characteristics of the study population

Variable		N	(%)
Age group	18-30	129	(51.6)
	31-45	99	(39.6)
	46-60	19	(7.6)
Marital status	Single	28	(11.2)
	Married	222	(88.8)
Education	Primary school	15	(6)
	Secondary school	27	(10.8)
	Diploma	77	(30.8)
	Associate Degree	28	(11.2)
	Bachelor Degree	83	(33.2)
	Master's degree and higher	17	(6.8)
Occupation	Housewife	156	(62.4)
	Self-employed	20	(8)
	Employee	62	(24.8)
	Retired	4	(1.6)
Economical status	Weak	21	(8.4)
	Moderate	161	(64.4)
	Good	62	(24.8)

Table 2. Distribution of absolute and relative frequency of health literacy levels of participants in the four areas

Four areas of health literacy	Good		Moderate		Weak	
	N	(%)	N	(%)	N	(%)
Availability and acquisition of information	63	(25.2)	80	(32)	103	(41.2)
Comprehension of information	149	(56.6)	87	(34.8)	5	(2)
Judgment and evaluation	49	(19.6)	186	(74.4)	4	(1.6)
Using information	-	-	211	(84.4)	19	(7.6)

**Table 3.** Average and standard deviation of health literacy areas with demographic characteristics of participants

Demographic variable	Availability and acquisition of information		Comprehension of information		Judgment and evaluation		Using information	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Marriage								
Single	5.32	3.06	56.59	12.32	19.07	3.50	41.62	6.57
Married	6.25	3.16	59.01	9.31	19.26	4.10	41.50	6.51
(Mann-Whithney U)	P-value = 0.151		P-value = 0.356		P-value = 0.905		P-value = 0.946	
Age group								
18-30	5.81	3.10	59.74	9.13	19.24	3.62	41.35	6.75
31-45	6.57	3.33	58.89	10.25	19.19	4.59	41.85	6.48
46-60	6.15	2.52	52.52	8.29	19.94	3.76	41	5.63
(Kruskal-Wallis H)	P-value = 0.198		P-value = 0.004		P-value = 0.574		P-value = 0.843	
Education								
Primary school	4.23	2.52	45.64	8.9	20.16	4.07	37.36	4.63
Secondary school	5.77	3.42	56.26	11.48	19.28	4.08	40	6.78
Diploma	5.44	3.27	56.20	8.52	19.28	4.06	39.97	5.59
Associate Degree	6.77	3.23	62.14	9.46	18.65	4.58	41.96	8.06
Bachelor Degree	6.83	2.85	61.66	7.74	19	3.75	43.14	6.33
Master's degree & higher	6.52	3.02	64.64	7.34	19.70	4.66	43.81	5.55
(Kruskal-Wallis H)	P-value = 0.014		P-value ≤ 0.000		P-value = 0.687		P-value = 0.006	
Occupation								
Housewife	5.99	3.28	58.21	9.65	19.43	4.14	40.57	6.57
Self-employed	6.05	2.99	55.05	1.65	19.10	3.66	40.50	5.90
Employee	6.70	2.92	61.17	8.84	19.04	4.05	43.97	6.15
Retired	6.25	3.40	56.25	13.30	19	4.24	43	5.59
(Kruskal-Wallis H)	P-value = 0.497		P-value = 0.052		P-value = 0.837		P-value = 0.006	
Economical status								
Weak	5.30	3.51	54.19	10.54	19.38	4.37	41.56	6.21
Moderate	6.41	3.15	59.62	8.97	18.89	3.80	41.71	6.63
Good	5.72	3.06	58.65	10.50	20.04	4.50	41.05	6.14
(Kruskal-Wallis H)	P-value = 0.191		P-value = 0.055		P-value = 0.291		P-value = 0.862	

Discussion

The results of this study achieved based on IHLQ indicated that a large number of people were in the poor category for accessing and achieving information. So, individuals should be educated to seek information from sources and data. They should not just rely on available resources presented at the centers. Accessing information at these centers may not be possible because the staffs in these centers are

usually so busy and do not have enough time to render services completely.

According to the results, 56.6% of participants had good comprehension of information. So, this assumption can be proved more assertively that people with access to health information have acceptable level of information comprehension.

Results of this study based on the IHLQ obtained showed that in the area of access to information,

more people were in the weak category, it can be concluded according to the setup program of health transformation plan that was performed newly. It is possible that the forces used in the health centers include beginners and they offer non-effective and unnecessary information to recipients of services working in these centers, also opportunity and access to information is impossible. According to the results, percentage of people who understood the information in the area in good category included 56.6%, this assumption can be more firmly established when people with access to health information, understood information as presented. Also, within judgmental and evaluation area, more people were included in the category of medium and fewer of them included in the weak area and it can be expected with the necessary training and awareness of women, they will have better judgment and assessments in health area. In the last areas of health literacy, using data from any of them was not in good category and the highest frequency was found in average categories. The results of other studies have reported inadequate health literacy, for example, in results of Baker et al, 46% of people had inadequate health literacy border.²⁵ Von Wangner et al. (2007) reported average and poor levels of health literacy 11.4% in adults in England.²³ Weak health literacy was reported in the literature; 53.5% of participants in a study conducted in Isfahan,²⁶ 54.6% of participants in the study carried out by Ghanbari et al. (2012), and borderline and inadequate health literacy among participants that was indicated by Mahmoudi et al. (2015).^{21, 27} Furthermore, in the study carried out by Fouladi et al. (2017) and Peyman et al. (2016) more individuals were in the average group.^{22, 28} In this study, statistically significant difference was observed with different age groups in terms of data understanding and according to results, age group 18 - 30 have the most average rating and age group 46-60 have least. That can be justified with regard to health literacy as the country's new issue will be discussed further, younger ages are more likely to have, track and understand the information. The results are consistent with the result of Kandula et al. (2009) and Tehrani et

al. (2007) studies^{17, 29} but they are against the results of Afshari et al. (2014) study.²⁵ The reasons for this contradiction can be due to the community of research, which only included women, or the fact that women usually have more reasons for referring to health centers. Another reason can be related to the low population of older age group.

According to the obtained results, significant relationship was observed in the variable quality of education in all areas except the judge and evaluation areas, so that the groups that had university education average score, had higher grade in terms of access and understanding data and use of information that match with default researchers, but cannot be confirmed that training literacy certainly increased information literacy because these two issues are separate, but can significantly affect them. People with higher education were seeking stemming health issues in their lives, although not involved with the issues. The results of this study was in line with those of Tol et al. (2008), Tehrani et al. (2007), Lee et al. (2010), and Bains et al. (2011).^{5, 29-31} The National Task Force on Health Literacy in America suggests that health materials should be written at the knowledge level of the fifth grade of the primary school, but the efficiency of these materials is still difficult for a quarter of the population.⁵

Significant relationship was seen as well as in variable employment of women in the field of using information and average scores of employed workers and in the second grade retirees were higher, respectively than this case and can be dependent on educational level and age.¹

In this study, there was no significant correlation between the scores of health literacy areas with economic situation that is aligned with the study of Tol et al. (2008) and Von Wagner et al. (2007).^{5, 23}

Conclusion

According to the present study, generally, women's health literacy is not acceptable and in order to increase the level of health literacy as a critical issue, it is suggested to pay more attention to clients' health literacy in educational programs and the use effective methods for teaching materials.



Furthermore, other educational methods as well as health education professionals in addition to oral education have to be used in order to create appropriate training programs based on level of ability and target groups' understanding about health topics. Therefore, it will increase the target community health literacy covered as health care recipients.

Conflicts of Interest

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this work.

Acknowledgments

The authors of the study thank all participants that helped us sincerely in achieving this research project with the code of ethics 8.1395.REC.SPH.SSU.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization, S.S.M.M. and S.G.; Methodology, S.G.; Investigation, S.G., Z.K.K. and F.K.; Formal analysis, S.G. and H.F.; Data Curation, F.K.; Writing – Original, Draft, S.G.; Writing – Review & Editing, S.S.M.M.

References

- Mollakhalili H, Papi A, Sharifirad Gh, Zare Farashbandi F, Hasanzadeh A. A survey on health literacy of inpatients educational hospitals of isfahan university of medical sciences. *Health Information Management*. 2014;11(4):464-473.
- Ozdemir H, Alper Z, Uncu Y, Bilgel N. Health literacy among adults: A study from Turkey. *Health Education Research*. 2010; 25(3):464-477. <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyp068>
- Horowitz AM, Kleinman DV. Oral health literacy: The new imperative to better oral health. *Dental Clinics of North America*. 2008;52(2):333-344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cden.2007.12.001>
- La Vonne AD, Zun LS. Assessing adult health literacy in urban healthcare settings. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 2008;100(11):1304-1308. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0027-9684\(15\)31509-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0027-9684(15)31509-1)
- Tol A, Pourreza A, Tavasoli E, Rahimi Foroshani A. Determination of knowledge and health literacy among women with type 2 diabetes in teaching hospitals of TUMS. *Journal of Hospital*. 2008;3(42):1-8.
- Wengryn M, Hester EJ. Pragmatic skills used by older adults in social communication and health care contexts: Precursors to health literacy. *Contemporary Issues in Communication Sciences and Disorders*. 2011;38:41-52.
- Mellor D, Russo S, McCabe MP, Davison TE, George K. Depression training program for caregivers of elderly care recipients: Implementation and qualitative evaluation. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*. 2008;34(9):8-15. <https://doi.org/10.3928/00989134-20080901-09>
- Tsai TI, Lee SY, Tsai YW, Kuo KN. Methodology and validation of health literacy scale development in Taiwan. *Journal of Health Communication*. 2010;16(1):50-61. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2010.529488>
- Kickbusch I. Health literacy: An essential skill for the twenty-first century. *Health Education*. 2008;108(2):101-104. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09654280810855559>
- Karimi S, Keyvanara M, Hosseini M, Jafarian M, Khorasani E. Health Literacy, Health Status, Health Services Utilization and Their Relationships in Adults in Isfahan. *Health Information Management*. 2014;10(6):862-875.
- Arozullah AM, Lee SY, Khan T, et al. The roles of low literacy and social support in predicting the preventability of hospital admission. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2006;21(2):140-145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1497.2005.00300.x>
- Chew LD, Bradley KA, Boyko EJ. Brief questions to identify patients with inadequate health literacy. *Health*. 2004;11:12.
- Sorensen K, Van den Broucke S, Fullam J, et al. Health literacy and public health: A systematic review and integration of definitions and models. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12(1):80. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-80>
- Howard DH, Sentell T, Gazmararian JA. Impact of health literacy on socioeconomic and racial differences in health in an elderly

- population. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2006;21(8):857-861. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.00530.x>
15. Weiss BD, Palmer R. Relationship between health care costs and very low literacy skills in a medically needy and indigent Medicaid population. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Practice*. 2004;17(1):44-47. <https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.17.1.44>
16. Sanders LM, Federico S, Klass P, Abrams MA, Dreyer B. Literacy and child health: A systematic review. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*. 2009;163(2):131-140. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpediatrics.2008.539>
17. Kandula NR, Nsiah Kumi PA, Makoul G, et al. The relationship between health literacy and knowledge improvement after a multimedia type 2 diabetes education program. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2009;75(3):321-327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2009.04.001>
18. Baker DW, Gazmararian JA, Williams MV, et al. Functional health literacy and the risk of hospital admission among Medicare managed care enrollees. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2002;92(8):1278-1283. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.92.8.1278>
19. Shieh C, Halstead JA. Understanding the impact of health literacy on women's health. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing*. 2009;38(5):601-612. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1552-6909.2009.01059.x>
20. Carthery Goulart MT, Anghinah R, Areza Fegyveres R, et al. Performance of a Brazilian population on the test of functional health literacy in adults. *Revista de Saúde Pública*. 2009;43(4):631-638. <http://doi.org/10.1590/S0034-89102009005000031>
21. Ghanbari S, Majlessi F, Ghaffari M, Mahmoodi Majdabadi M. Evaluation of health literacy of pregnant women in urban health centers of Shahid Beheshti Medical University. *Daneshvar*. 2012;19(97):1-12.
22. Fouladi N, Hazrati S, Shabani M, Nejjaddagar N. Investigating middle-aged health literacy in Ardabil. *Journal of Health Literacy*. 2017;2(1):39-44. [Persian]
23. Von Wagner C, Knight K, Steptoe A, Wardle J. Functional health literacy and health-promoting behaviour in a national sample of British adults. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2007;61(12):1086-1090. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2006.053967>
24. Afrasiabi H, Farhani N. Qualitative Study of a Background of Drug Use among Women in Yazd. *Social Behavior Research & Health*. 2017;1(1):27-35.
25. Afshari M, Khazaei S, Bahrami M, Merati H. Investigating adult health literacy in Tuyserkhan City. *Journal of Education and Community Health*. 2014;1(2):48-55.
26. Javadzade H, Sharifirad G, Reisi M, Tavassoli E, Rajati F. Health literacy among adults of Isfahan, Iran. *Journal of Health System Research*. 2013;9(5):540-549.
27. Mahmoudi H, Taheri A. Relation between information literacy and health literacy of students in Ferdowsi University of Mashhad. *Human Information Interaction*. 2015;2(2):31-41.
28. Peyman N, Samiee Roudi Kh. Investigating the status of health literacy among health providers of rural area. *Journal of Health Literacy*. 2016;1(1):46-52.
29. Tehrani Banihashemi SA, Amirkhani MA, Haghdooost AA, et al. Health literacy and the influencing factors: A study in five provinces of Iran. *Strides in Development of Medical Education*. 2007;4(1):1-9.
30. Lee SY, Tsai TI, Tsai YW, Kuo KN. Health literacy, health status, and healthcare utilization of Taiwanese adults: Results from a national survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10(1):614. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-614>
31. Bains SS, Egede LE. Associations between health literacy, diabetes knowledge, self-care behaviors, and glycemic control in a low income population with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics*. 2011;13(3):335-341. <https://doi.org/10.1089/dia.2010.0160>